

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,319		09/27/2004	Martin Roth	75248-034	1908	
21890	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER		
PROSKAU		<del></del>	KRUER, KEVIN R			
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299			1773	1773	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				v
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/509,319	ROTH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Kevin R. Kruer	1773	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-16 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and request that any objection to the	or election requirement.  er. cepted or b) objected to by the less drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Adminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.	
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  be of References Cited (PTO-892)  be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 9-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 26, 2006.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on May 26, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search would not be an undue burden. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are classified in different classes and each invention requires a distinct set of classes/subclasses to be searched.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by .Urano et al (US 5,800,952) has been overcome by amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Awokola et la (US 6,605,669) in view of Kawase et al (US 5,753,362).

Art Unit: 1773

Awokola teaches a coating composition comprising a (meth)acrylic copolymer having a molecular weight of 100-10,000 and an OH value of 20-250mg KOH/g (abstract). The polymer comprises (A1) a glycidyl vinyl compound such as glycidyl methacrylate (col 3, lines 1+), (A2) comprises (meth)acrylic acid (col 3, lines 10+), and (A3) comprise (meth)acrylate (col 3, lines 30+).

Awokola does not teach that the reaction product should further comprise an unsubstituted phenol such that the phenol to (meth)acrylic ester ratio is within the claimed range. However, Kawase teaches a methacrylic acid copolymer may have its glass transition temperature optimized by utilizing a phenol methacrylate such as benzyl methacrylate (col 13, lines 18+). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to polymerize benzyl methacrylate into the polymer taught in Awokola in the claimed relative amounts in order to optimize the glass transition temperature of the coating.

With regards to claim 8, it is known that the molecular weight of a polymer affects is processability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the molecular weight of the polymer taught in Awokola in order to optimize the processability of the composition.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1773

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R. Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin R. Kruer

X-27-

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773